EUROPEAN ASSOCIATION OF ARCHAEOLOGISTS 20TH ANNUAL MEETING Istanbul, Turkey, 10-14 September, 2014



10-14 September, 2014 ITU - Istanbul

## Habitation Patterns of the Neolithic Agricultural Villages in Eastern Thessaly (Greece) Through Remote Sensing Applications

A. Sarris, J.C. Donati, G. Cantoro, C. Cuenca-Garcia, T. Kalaycı, F.-X. Simon; M. Manataki, K. Vouzaxakis, V. Rondiri, K. Almatzi, D. Efstathiou, P. Arachoviti, E. Stamelou

Laboratory of Geophysical – Satellite Remote Sensing and Archaeo-environment Institute for Mediterranean Studies Foundation for Research and Technology, Hellas (I.M.S.-F.O.R.T.H.) Rethymno, Crete, Greece

13<sup>th</sup> Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities - Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports











THOTPPED NAME AS A DEPERTURATION, IDANTICMBY & ADAPTEMENT  $E \perp \Delta \perp A \times E \perp P \mid \Sigma \perp A$ 

Με τη συγχρηματοδότηση της Ελλάδας και της Ευρωπαϊκής Ένωσης



1901-1903: Excavations at Sesklo and Dimini1908: Excavations at Zerelia



1960-1977: Trial Excavations at several neolithic sites by D.R. Theocharis and Vl. Milojcic1977-1979: Excavations at Dimini by G. Hourmouziades

нмеро	ΛΟΓΙΟ
1 And and	
	a 1
	1000-0100-0100

1984:

1992:

Halstead's catalogue of prehistoric sites in Thessaly, based on a survey made by French Gallis' catalogue of sites in E. Thessaly.



1990-present: Field survey in Almiros plain 13<sup>th</sup> EPCA & the Netherland Institute at Athens

1990-2005: Rescue excavations (national road, Lake Karla)



2005-present: Extensive satellite R.S. & geophysical survey by GeoSat ReSeArch Lab of IMS (FORTH). PENED (2005-2007), INSTAP (2006-2010), ARISTEIA (2013-2015)

#### Status of knowledge of the Neolithic Landscape in Thessaly

Registration and mapping of Neolithic settlements in Thessaly & GIS analyses for

the <u>management of the</u> <u>natural landscape</u> &

site <u>distribution patterns</u> among ecological and topographic zones of Thessaly.



ACUEVIKO 1

οδια Δοσογωρίου -

http://neolithicthessaly.ims.forth.gr/

Eddizio

REDOIKE, NTOCUTIO 7 CEN

Kaptero avraysi

O Empting Aut

δινιώτικη Μαιούλ μυριώτικη μαιούλ

- 342 documented magoulas
- 181 sites (53% of the total) are established on alluvial deposits & 81 sites on fluvial deposit areas.
- These formations are of low altitude & are ideal for cultivation.

This paper presents the preliminary results of a multi-year geophysical and remote sensing fieldwork campaign to study the <u>physical landscape and social dynamics of Neolithic</u> <u>settlements within the coastal hinterlands of eastern Thessaly</u> (Greece).

IGEAN (Innovative Geophysical Approaches for the Study of Early Agricultural Villages of Neolithic Thessaly) project, is implemented under the "ARISTEIA" Action of the "Operational Programme Education And Lifelong Learning" and is co-funded by the European Social Fund (ESF) and National Resources (2013-2015).

AIMS: Application of nondestructive, remote sensing techniques to <u>explore multiple</u> <u>settlements</u> & <u>extract new</u> <u>archaeological data on an</u> extensive scale, to analyze the <u>broader characteristics of</u> <u>Neolithic habitation in Thessaly.</u>



The project has been successful in documenting the <u>diachronic development</u> of Neolithic sites from core habitation mounds ( $\leq 1$ hectare) to large, sprawling communities several hectares in size.

#### Area of interest



#### **Methodologies – Multi-magnetometer Techniques**



Sensorik & Systemtechnologie (SENSYS) MX Compact system

8 multi-channel measurement system Equipped with FGM600 fluxgate gradiometers separated by 0.25-0.5m & connected to a DGPS navigation system

Ideal for large-scale scanning





Bartington single sensor unit also in use in thick vegetation areas

#### **Methodologies – Electromagnetic Techniques**

#### GEM2 - Geophex



CMD Mini explorer-GF Instruments



# Tx Rx 1 Rx 2 Rx 3 O O O

#### Depth of investigation

	GEM2			CMD M	fini explorer		
Electrical conductivity	НСР	2.5 m	НСР	0.5 m	1 m	2 m	
			VCP	0.3 m	0.7 m	1.3 m	
Magnetic susceptibility	$O \ c$	1	НСР	0.2 m	0.5 m	1 m	
	НСР	1.7 m	VCP	0.3 m	0.7 m	1.3 m	

#### Ideal for large-scale scanning

 $\bigcirc$ 

#### **Methodologies – Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR)**



8 channels MALA MIRA GPR, 400 MHz antennas Sampling 10 x 2.5 cm



Sensors & Software Noggin Plus System with 250 MHz antennas Sampling 50 x 2.5 cm

Penetration Depth ~2.5m

Before and after processing





#### **Methodologies – Soil Resistance Techniques**



Geoscan Research RM85 resistance meter

Twin Probe array of electrodes with spacing a=1m

Penetration Depth ~1.5m

#### **Methodologies – Magnetic Susceptibility Measurements**



- Coring and soil analysis in the Lab.
- Bartington MS2B Double Frequency sensor
- Low & High Frequency susceptibility & Frequency dependent susceptibility



### Quadrocopter DroidWorx CX4

- *σ* DJI navigation, viewpoint and failsafe
- я Average altitude 100-200 m above surface
- $\sigma$  Autonomy ~13-15min with camera load





• Canon S100 w/ GPS (or similar)

**Methodologies – Aerial Photography** 

- Low budget to limit failure costs
  - Canon: CHDK hacking system for intervallometer
- Mainly mounted for ortho-view with 2D stabilizing gimbal



SITE	COVERAGE (in hectares)			
	Magnetics	EM	GPR	UAV
1. Almiriotiki	8.42	7.75	1.28	20.84
2. Almiros 2	6.60	2.39	0.37	7.31
3. Bakalis	0.45	0.36	0.29	-
4. Belitsi	1.32	1.78	0.37	11.74
5. Eleutherochori		0.18	0.18	-
6. Kamara	0.88	1.06	0.10	-
7. Karatsangliou	2.96	1.20	0.37	13.22
8. Karatsantagli	2.71	0.58	0.20	12.38
9. Kastro Kokkinas	1.08	0.72	0.09	-
10. Nikonanou	2.91	1.37	-	-
11. Mati	3.33	2.40	0.32	-
12. Perdika 1	5.19	2.32	0.44	-
13. Perdika 2	3.90	2.21	0.32	-
14. Rizomilos 2	10.48	3.16	0.36	-
15. Visviki	5.12	-	1.90	-
16. Zerelia	4.83	1.88	0.72	33.88
TOTAL (<5 weeks fieldwork)	60.18	29.36	7.31	99.37

### **Selected Results**



#### Almiros 2

## Early Neolithic – Middle Neolithic



#### Almiros 2 – Magnetics

nT/m

High: 50

Low : -50

Mudbrick fragments

Core habitation zone: 15-20 rectilinear structures with high magnetic values (mudbrick?)

At least 2 circular ditches & multiple possible entrances 20 40 80 m

A few features outside the core habitation zone

#### Almiros 2 – EM Susceptibility (HCP for 0-1.7 m depth)



#### Almiros 2 – EM Conductivity (HCP for 0-2.5 m depth)



### Magoula Almiriotiki

## Early Neolithic – Late Bronze Age



## Magoula Almiriotiki – Magnetics



## Magoula Almiriotiki – Magnetics



## Magoula Almiriotiki – GPR (depth 0.7-0.8 m)



#### Magoula Almiriotiki – Details of Structures



- Low magnetic value probably indicates that the structure(s) has stone foundations

- Rectilinear form 38 m by 10 m.

- In GPR, the structure appears to be formed from three separate structures built side by side.

- Internal wall divisions are present



200 r

Magoula Almiriotiki Early Neolithic – Late Bronze Age

- Extensive settlement built around a core habitation zone on the highest topographic level

- 60+ rectilinear structures

- Structures on the top have high magnetic values and are probably built in mudbrick

- Structures with low magnetic values have 2-3 rooms

- Large "megaron" structure may be three structures built side-by-side

- Extensive network of ditches (at times double) surround the settlement

#### Perdika 1

## Early Neolithic – Middle Bronze Age







Perdika 1 Early Neolithic – Middle Bronze Age

- Extensive settlement (>200x100m) that greatly expands beyond a core habitation zone on the highest level

- 50+ rectilinear structures

- The majority of structures have high magnetic values (mudbrick), but others have low magnetic values (stone) with 2-3 rooms (similar to Almiriotiki)

- Ditches and or walls preserved on the northern side of the settlement

#### Early Neolithic – Middle Neolithic





#### Perdika 2

- Extensive network of ditches built on a natural hilltop
  - A sequence of openings that gave access into the settlement
  - Little evidence for individual structures (some have high magnetic values)





## Perdika 2 – Magnetics (left) and GPR 0.7-0.8 m depth (right)





- Large rectilinear structures with low magnetic value (stone structures?) were identified with GPR

#### **Concluding Remarks**

- Successful Employment of Geophysical and Satellite remote sensing techniques – Importance of using an arsenal of various approaches (manifold geophysics)
- Conceptualize a landscape of variation: Similar and divergent characteristics of settlements in planning and structural materials)
- Dimension of settlements and structures
- Internal organization of the structures, clusters of structures
- open/unbuilt spaces, pits, a.o.
- burnt and unburnt structures / mudbrick & stone structures?
- Corridors and entrances
- Existence of enclosures (ditches/fortifications)



- Existence of <u>ditches in terms to the surrounding geomorphologic features</u> (e.g. proximity to palaeochannels).
- Implications regarding the sustainable population, the study the spatial context and organization – intra site, local and regional level, the chronological continuation of habitation, persistency in occupation, etc.

EUROPEAN ASSOCIATION OF ARCHAEOLOGISTS 20TH ANNUAL MEETING Istanbul, Turkey, 10-14 September, 2014

#### EUROPEAN ASSOCIATION OF ARCHAEOLOGISTS 20th ANNUAL MEETING

10-14 September, 2014 ITU - Istanbul

## Habitation Patterns of the Neolithic Agricultural Villages in Eastern Thessaly (Greece) Through Remote Sensing Applications

A. Sarris, J.C. Donati, G. Cantoro, C. Cuenca-Garcia, T. Kalayci, F.-X. Simon; M. Manataki, K. Vouzaxakis, V. Rondiri, K. Almatzi, D. Efstathiou, P. Arachoviti, E. Stamelou

Laboratory of Geophysical – Satellite Remote Sensing and Archaeo-environment Institute for Mediterranean Studies (I.M.S.-F.O.R.T.H.)

&

13th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities - Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports





